

SUMMARY of LATE ITEMS

---

**5.1 23/00349/FUL Neville Sadler Court Beeston**

- An objection was received by a member of the public on 31 May 2024. The objector forwarded a previous objection they had submitted. All of these concerns have already been addressed in the committee report.

**5.2 24/00175/REG3 Hickings Lane Recreation Ground Stapleford**

- Sport England withdraws its objection to the application because it is considered to accord with exception 5 of our Playing Fields Policy and paragraph 103 of the NPPF. Supporting information was sent to Sport England in response to the key areas of concern including: displacement of cricket playing facilities, the need for the new artificial grass pitch and the future capability of Hickings Lane to provide a range of sports and playing pitches.
- Sport England have recommended a set of conditions to be used if permission is granted, these include:
  - Ensuring the new cricket playing facility is implemented;
  - Transitional cricket playing facilities are provided;
  - Community use agreement; and
  - Appropriate design of the artificial grass pitch is secured.
- A public engagement event was held by Broxtowe Economic Development on Thursday 23rd May to provide local residents with an update on the Stapleford Towns Deal Programme. Comments received from the event have already been included in the committee report.

**5.3 24/00044/VOC Land West of Shilo Way Awsworth**

- The consultation response received from the Highway Authority was based on 50 occupations and not 20 occupations. The last sentence of Para 9.1 should therefore read 'It would therefore be unreasonable for us to raise an objection to the proposal but do not want to stagger the number of units beyond the prescribed value of up to the occupation of 50 dwellings.'

**5.4 24/00155/FUL Broad Oak Farm Strelley**

- During the determination of the application amended plans were received showing alterations to the proposed porch. The Conservation Officer has recommended conditions to safeguard the reconstruction of the porch, which include a detailed elevational drawing; specification of the door; and samples of brickwork and tiles; to be submitted and approved, should planning permission be granted.
- Since the publication of the committee report a number of errors in the report have been identified. These include:
  - Paragraph 1.2 This should have included: "The front porch would have a gable roof with a ridge height of 3.7m and an eaves height of 2.2m. It would

SUMMARY of LATE ITEMS

---

have a width of 2.6m with a depth of 1.4m. The front elevation would have a door; the side elevations would have a small window”.

- Paragraph 5.1, the last paragraph, should be replaced with the condition recommended by the Conservation Officer.

**5.5 24/00162/FUL 187A Nottingham Road Nuthall**

No late items to report

**5.6 24/00184/FUL 43 Nottingham Road Nuthall**

No late items to report

**5.7 23/00895/FUL Garden at 55 Main Road Brinsley**

Since the publication of the report the agent has been asked by the applicant to provide photographs of another development that the applicant is currently working on. The agent states the design is very similar to that proposed in the submitted application. These photographs have been sent to all planning committee members by the agent, prior to committee.